1	KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ Acting United States Attorney JESSICA DELANEY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Office States of Afficien	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-00311-DC
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER
13	v.	
14	DANIEL CHARTRAW, DATE: August 1, 2025	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Dena Coggins
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on August 1, 2025.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until	
22	November 14, 2025, and to exclude time between August 1, 2025, and November 14, 2025, under Loca	
23	Code T4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has produced over 4,500 pages of discovery associated with this	
26	case, which includes financial documentation, investigative reports, location tracing information	
27	records of cryptocurrency transactions, and witness reports, in addition to voluminous	
28	productions of digital data obtained from remote computing services. All of this discovery has	

been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) In addition to the produced discovery, the government is also working on a forensic extraction of a relevant cell phone, including employing filter procedures to ensure that no privileged information is inadvertently accessed by the prosecution team.
- c) Counsel for the defendant has represented that the produced discovery consists of at least 6 large binders, in addition to the electronic evidence, and that he has not yet had an opportunity to complete his review of the produced discovery. Counsel for the defendant has represented that he has recently completed two murder trials, which have impacted his ability to review the discovery. Counsel for the defendant has also represented that he is conducting his own investigation.
- d) Since the last status conference, the government has extended a plea offer to the defendant, and the parties are engaged in the negotiation of terms.
- e) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Counsel for defendant also desires additional time to consult with his client and conduct his own investigation.
- f) This is the second request to continue the status conference in this case. The previous order to continue the status conference was signed by this Court on May 1, 2025.
  - g) The government does not object to the continuance.
- h) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- i) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 1, 2025 to November 14, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## 

Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 4. 1 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 3 must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 5 6 Dated: July 22, 2025 KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ **Acting United States Attorney** 8 /s/ JESSICA DELANEY 9 JESSICA DELANEY **Assistant United States Attorney** 10 11 Dated: July 22, 2025 /s/ ANDREW FLIER 12 ANDREW FLIER Counsel for Defendant 13 DANIEL CHARTRAW 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

1 /

**ORDER** 

The court having received, read and considered the parties' Stipulation and good cause appearing therefrom ADOPTS the parties' Stipulation. Accordingly, the Status Conference set for August 1, 2025, is VACATED and RESET for November 14, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 8 before the Honorable Dena Coggins. The court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which the Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that provide a basis to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]. Time is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between August 1, 2025, and November 14, 2025, inclusive.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **July 23, 2025** 

Dena Coggins

United States District Judge